

Problem Behaviors in Young Children: The Impact of Hearing Loss and Language Impairment



Sarah E. Orfanedes, BHS; Megan Y. Roberts, Ph.D; Stephen M. Camarata, Ph.D; & P. Lynn Hayes, Ed.D Department of Hearing & Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN

Introduction

Current State of Knowledge

- Problem behaviors in children negatively impact social and academic outcomes as well as overall development (Masten et al., 2005)
- Previous research has largely found a higher prevalence of problem behaviors in children with HL compared to their hearing peers with typical language (TL) (Quittner et al., 2010; Barker et al., 2009; Topol, Girard, St. Pierre, Tucker, & Vohr, 2011; van Eldik, Treffers, Veerman, &Verhulst, 2004; Dammeyer, 2009)
- However, other studies have found no difference in problem behaviors between children with HL and their peers with TL (Khan, Edwards & Langdon, 2005; Theunissen et al., 2012)
- Most evidence supports the theory of language impairment (LI) as being the greatest source behind problem behaviors in children with HL (Quittner et al., 2010; Barker et al., 2009; Dammeyer, 2009; Cruz et al., 2012) and in hearing children with LI alone (Moretti et al., 2013; van Daal, Verhoeven & van Balkom, 2007; Kaiser, Hancock, Cai, Foster & Hester, 2000)

Purpose of the Current Study

 To better understand problem behaviors in children with HL and the correlation between problem behaviors and LI

Research Questions

What is the relationship between problem behaviors and language skills across different populations of young children?

Question 2

Do children with HL have more problem behaviors than hearing children with LI or TL?

Question 3

Do parents and teachers differ in their report of problem behaviors in children with HL?

Methods

Participants

- 48 children, 16 in each group (HL,LI, TL)
- Mean age of 43 months (SD 12.2).
- Children were matched on age and gender between groups and language ability between HL and LI groups.
- 56% female, 44% male
- 73% Caucasian, 17% African American, 10% Other
- Average income was \$80,000 (SD: \$47,000).
- 64% of mothers had a bachelors degree or higher
- Children with HL attend an auditory-oral preschool program mixed with hearing peers
- · Children varied in type, degree and configuration of hearing loss; majority (n=9) of children had bilateral cochlear implants
- Average total language standard score of children:

■ HL: 83 (19) LD: 75 (10.2) ■ TL: 117 (20)

Measures

- Parent Report
 - Preschool Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL/1.5-5)
- Teacher Report
 - Caregiver -Teacher Report Form (C-TRF)

Statistical Analysis

- Research Question 1: Correlational analyses
- Research Question 2: One-way ANOVA HL vs. LI One-way ANOVA HL vs. TL
- Research Question 3: Within-subjects ANOVA

Results

Group:	Hearing Loss M (SD)	Language Impaired M (SD)	Typical Language M (SD)
Externalizing Problem Behaviors	50.46 (11.27)	50.38 (11.11)	47.94 (11.47)
Internalizing Problem Behaviors	48.92 (12.87)	52.50 (11.54)	45.13 (13.09)

Measure:	Parent- reported	Teacher- reported
Externalizing Problem Behaviors	50.46 (11.27)	51.13 (12.13)
Internalizing Problem Behaviors	48.92 (12.87)	48.56 (11.76)

Research Question 1:

- Overall language skills were moderately correlated with internalizing behaviors (r=-.351, p=.02)
- Children who had better language skills demonstrated fewer internalizing problem behaviors; this relationship did not vary by

Research Question 2:

- Children with HL did not exhibit a significantly greater number of internalizing (F(1, 27) = .62,p=.44) or externalizing (F(1, 27) = .000, p=.98)problem behaviors than children with LI
- Children with HL did not exhibit a significantly greater number of internalizing (F(1, 27) = .61,p=.44) or externalizing (F(1, 27)=.35, p=.56)problem behaviors than children with TL

Research Question 3:

 There was no significant difference between parent and teacher report for internalizing (F(1,27)=.01, p=.94) or externalizing (F(1,27)=.01, p=.94).02, p=.88) problem behaviors

Conclusions

- Internalizing problem behaviors were moderately correlated with language level, but not uniquely with HL
- Children with HL did not have significantly different levels of internalizing or externalizing problem behaviors than children with LI or TL
- Parent and teacher reported problem behaviors were in concordance

Limitations

- Small sample size; monolingual children only
- Direct observational measures of problem behaviors are needed
- All of the children with HL attended an intensive auditory-oral preschool; findings may not be consistent across other educational placements

Clinical Implications

- Targeting language development in children may reduce internalizing problem behaviors
- Difficulties with problem behaviors may emerge as the child enters grade school

References

Barker, D. H., Quitner, A. L., Fink, N. E., Eisenberg, L. S., Tobey, E. A., Nparko, J. K., & The CDeCI lavestigative Team. (2009). Predicting behavior problems in deaf and hearing children: The influences of language, attention, and particulated communication. Development and Psychopathology, 27, 373–392.
Cuzz, I., Warn, I., Wang, N. Y., Sparko, J., Qülmer, A. I., & the CDeCI lavestgaive Team (2012). Language and behavior lourcosts in children with developmental disabilities using cochlear implants. Onlong of Neurostology, 33.

Dammeyer, J. (2009). Psychosocial development in a Danish population of children with cochlear implant of-hearing children. Journal of Deaf Studies and Deaf Education, 15, 50-58.

Kaiser, A. P., Hancock, B. T., Cai, X., Foster, E. M., & Hester, P. P. (2000). Parent-reported behav

Nauec, A., P., Hancocx, B. T., Cai, X., Foster, E. M., & Hester, P. P. (2000). Parent-sported behaviors delay in book and grids enrolled in Head Start classrooms. Behavioral Distorter, 22, 26–41.
Klan, S., Eriwards, I., & Langdon, D. (2005). The cognition and behaviour of children with cochear in bearing asks and their hearing gais and their hearing gais and their hearing gais and their hearing gais and their hearing gain and the property of the companion of the compa

Linking academic activewent and excessioning and experience of the physical part of the physical part of the physical part of the physical part of the physical physi

8.
s. ex. A. ... Barker, D. H. Cruz, I., Snell, C., Grimley, M. E., Botteri, M., & the CDxCI Investigative Team (2010). Part stress among parents of deaf and hearing children: Associations with language delays and behavior problems. Pare Science and Pract., 01, 136-155.
sitsen, S. C., Bettle, C., Kouwenberg, M., De Raeve, L., Soede, W., Briaire, J. J., & Fijins, J. H. (2012). Anxiety in

Children with hearing aidstor cocklearing plants compared to normally hearing control. The Larragoscope, 122,654-9.

Topol, D., Nicole, G., S. Pierre, L., Tucker, R., & Watt, R. (2011). The efficiency of material stress and child language aidsty on behavior and controls of children with congenital hearing loss at 18-24 months. Levyl Human Development, 47,807-81.

van Baila, T., Treffers, P.D. A., Verman, J. W., & Verhauls, F. C. (2004). Mental health problems of deal Datech children as indicated by parents' responses to the Child Behavior Checkles. American Annals of the Date (148), 39-39. undicated by parents' responses to the Child Behavior Chec van Daal, J., Verhoeven, L., & van Balkom, H. (2007). Behaviour J Child Psychology and Psychiatrs, 48, 1139-1147.

More Information

Sarah E. Orfanedes, MS-SLP Candidate sarah.e.orfanedes@vanderbilt.edu Megan Y. Roberts, PhD , CCC-SLP

megan.y.roberts@northwestern.edu This work was supported in part by IES grant number R324A090181 and UL1 TR000445 from NCATS/NIH.